

# UNDERSTANDING YOUR DATA: THE EXPERT RULES

April Abbott, PhD, D(ABMM)

Deaconess Health System

Indiana University School of Medicine - Evansville

Evansville, IN

[April.Abbott@Deaconess.com](mailto:April.Abbott@Deaconess.com)

# WHAT WE WILL COVER:

- Define expert rule and describe how they are applied to AST
- Describe strategies for evaluating and developing expert rules
- Discuss examples of expert rules and the downstream implications for use
- Disclaimer – different systems use different terminology (alert, trigger, comment, etc.)

# AST EXPERT RULES

- **Definition: action to be taken given a specific AST result**
- **Based on current clinical breakpoints and knowledge of resistance mechanisms**
- **Instrument generated**
- **Ability to modify, customize, create rules**
- **Clinical decision support system**

# AST EXPERT RULES

- Expert rules are designed to automatically detect:
  - Technical errors – mixed cultures, over inoculation, etc
  - Result abnormalities – 3<sup>rd</sup> gen cephalosporins are resistant but 1<sup>st</sup> gen cephalosporins are sensitive
  - Intrinsic resistance patterns – *K. pneumoniae* should be resistant to ampicillin

# WHAT'S IN AN EXPERT RULE

- Based on boolean operators
- Consist of multiple conditions

If (condition a)

**and** (condition b)

**or** (condition c)

 **then** (action)

# TYPES OF RULES

## 1. Inherent resistance

- Isolate tests susceptible, do you want to call it resistant
  - Activity of agent insufficient for success
  - Resistance innate
  - May test susceptible in vitro, therefore testing and reporting of (susceptible) results should be avoided
- Example is nitrofurantoin against *P. mirabilis*

# HANDLING OF IR EXCEPTION

- Instrument automatically makes agent resistant irrelevant of MIC
  - No hands-on time by technologist, decision made by instrument
- Instrument alerts technologist to verify result and/or identification
  - Hands-on time and expertise provided by technologist

# CLSI GUIDANCE

## B1. Enterobacteriaceae

Antimicrobial Agent \ Organism	Ampicillin	Amoxicillin-clavulanate	Ampicillin-sulbactam	Piperacillin	Ticarcillin	Cephalosporin I: Cefazolin, Cephalothin	Cephamycins: Cefoxitin, Cefotetan	Cephalosporin II: Cefuroxime	Imipenem
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	R	R	R			R	R	R	
<i>Citrobacter koseri</i>	R			R	R				
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	R	R	R			R	R	R	
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> complex	R	R	R			R	R	R	
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	There is no intrinsic resistance to $\beta$ -lactams in this organism.								
<i>Escherichia hermannii</i>	R				R				
<i>Hafnia alvei</i>	R	R	R			R	R		
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	R				R				
<i>Morganella morganii</i>	R	R				R		R	'
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	There is no intrinsic resistance to penicillins and cephalosporins in this organism.								
<i>Proteus penneri</i>	R					R		R	'



# EUCAST GUIDANCE

Rule no.	Organisms	Ampicillin	Amoxicillin-clavulanate	Ticarcillin	Piperacillin	Cefazolin	Cefoxitin
I.1	<i>Citrobacter koseri</i>	R	–	R	R	–	–
I.2	<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	R	R	–	–	R	R
I.3	<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	R	R	–	–	R	R
I.4	<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	R	R	–	–	R	R
I.5	<i>Escherichia hermannii</i>	R	–	R	–	–	–
I.6	<i>Hafnia alvei</i>	R	R	–	–	R	–
I.7	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	R	–	R	–	–	–
I.8	<i>Morganella morganii</i>	R	R	–	–	R	–
I.9	<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–
I.10	<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	R	–	–	–	R	–
I.11	<i>Proteus penneri</i>	R	–	–	–	R	–
I.12	<i>Providencia rettgeri</i>	R	R	–	–	R	–
I.13	<i>Providencia stuartii</i>	R	R	–	–	R	–
I.14	<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	R	R	–	–	R	–
I.15	<i>Yersinia enterocolitica</i>	R	R	R	–	R	R
I.16	<i>Yersinia pseudotuberculosis</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–

EUCAST: [www.eucast.org](http://www.eucast.org)

Leclercq R et. al., CMI, 2011

# TYPES OF RULES




## 2. Unlikely or exceptional resistance

- Isolate tests non-susceptible to agent in which this phenotype is rare
- Isolate resistance pattern amongst antibiotic class is inconsistent (resistant to doripenem but susceptible to erta, mero, and imi)

# EXCEPTIONAL RESISTANCE

## ■ Changes over time

- Example is VRE – once rare, now common
- Now daptomycin is exceptional (but may be moving the same direction)

Biotype	---
Organism	E. faecium VRE <span style="float: right;"><b>VREFCM+</b></span>
Alerts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▶  Daptomycin-nonsusceptible enterococcus</li><li>▶  DAPTOMYCIN-NONSUSCEPTIBLE ENTEROCOCCUS</li><li>▶  Vancomycin-resistant enterococcus</li></ul>

# ENTEROCOCCUS EXPERT RULES V1

## ■ Vancomycin

### ■ Vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus

Check infection control policy

## ■ Daptomycin (x2)

### ■ Daptomycin-nonsusceptible Enterococcus

Unusual resistance

Verify isolate results by repeat testing unless patient had isolate previously

Save isolate

Infectious Disease consult suggested

- ▷ ❌ Daptomycin-nonsusceptible enterococcus
- ▷ ❌ DAPTOMYCIN-NONSUSCEPTIBLE ENTEROCOCCUS
- ▷ ⚠️ Vancomycin-resistant enterococcus

**DAPTOMYCIN-NONSUSCEPTIBLE ENTEROCOCCUS**

**Unusual resistance**

**Freeze isolate**

# ENTEROCOCCUS IMPROVED EXPERT RULES

- Vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus
  - Add ICPR comment
    - (ICPR internal code for “Infection control precautions recommended”)
  - OR – Could build logic in LIS
  - If organism ID is *E. faecalis* or *E. faecium* and vancomycin MIC  $\geq 8$ , then add ICPR comment
    - Limitation – depending upon where you set MIC, may not capture all isolates or may capture too many

# ENTEROCOCCUS LIS-BASED VRE RULES

	VanA	VanB	VanM	VanD	VanE	VanC
Vancomycin	≥64	≥4	>256	64-128	8-32	2-32
Teicoplanin	R	S	S-R	S-R	S	S
Transferable	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Notable	<b>VRE</b>	<b>VRE</b>	<b>VRE</b>			<i>E. gallinarum</i> <i>E. casseliflavus</i>

- If set threshold at MIC ≥8 for all enterococci then will capture other mechanisms (e.g. vanC) that do not pose infection control transmission risk
  - Need separate rule for organisms containing vanC
  - Second rule : *E. gallinarum* and *E. casseliflavus* must have vancomycin MIC ≥32

# ENTEROCOCCUS IMPROVED EXPERT RULES

## ■ Daptomycin-nonsusceptible Enterococcus

**Confirm resistance by Etest unless previously isolated. If confirmed, release result, add ICPR comment. Freeze all isolates.**

- Limitations - doesn't say what to do if doesn't confirm
  - Could add that info, refer isolate to antibiotic bench, or simply state to refer to procedure
- Requires management of method changes

# EXCEPTIONAL RESISTANCE

- Changes over time
- Geographical differences
- May indicate incorrect ID, contamination, error
  - Example is VRSA – would be truly exceptional resistance
  - Confirmation of result and identification required
  - Happen very infrequently, almost always errors



# EXCEPTION

- AST results that just don't make sense
- Reproducible; thoroughly validated scenario where the cause of error has been determined to be the instrument/panel/limitation of system
  - Example: Doripenem MIC is elevated, all other carbapenems susceptible for *Pseudomonas*
  - Current protocol: alert triggers based on exceptional resistance profile
  - Technologist manually reads doripenem MIC and changes to susceptible

# RESOLVING THE EXPERT RULE

- Look at all times alert fired in X time-frame
- Document number of times MIC was changed
- Document other relevant data
  - Organism type, any other resistance
- Compare to known mechanisms (literature) to demonstrate it simply is a system issue
- Determine agent usage

# RESOLVING THE EXPERT RULE

- Remove alert, routinely hide result
  - If a physician requests agent, cannot report without confirming
  - Isolate may not be available when asked
  - List as limitation to your system
- Cannot take this approach with agents commonly used or commonly requested

# HANDLING OF EXCEPTIONAL RESISTANCE EXCEPTION

- Instrument makes the determination for reporting
  - No hands-on time by technologist, decision made by instrument
- Instrument alerts technologist to verify result and/or identification
  - Hands-on time and expertise provided by technologist

# EXCEPTIONAL RESISTANCE RESOURCES

- Instruments typically have CLSI examples pre-loaded as expert rules
- EUCAST provides examples for GN, GP, and anaerobes
- Routinely evaluate your system

Rule no.	Organisms	Exceptional phenotypes
5.1	Any <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> (except <i>Proteae</i> )	Resistant to meropenem and/or imipenem <sup>2</sup>
5.2	<i>Serratia marcescens</i> and <i>Proteae</i>	Susceptible to colistin
5.3	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> and <i>Acinetobacter</i> spp.	Resistant to colistin
5.4	<i>Haemophilus influenzae</i>	Resistant to any third-generation cephalosporin, carbapenems, and fluoroquinolones
5.5	<i>Moraxella catarrhalis</i>	Resistant to ciprofloxacin and any third-generation cephalosporin
5.6	<i>Neisseria meningitidis</i>	Resistant to any third-generation cephalosporin and fluoroquinolones
5.7	<i>Neisseria gonorrhoeae</i>	Resistant to third-generation cephalosporin and spectinomycin

<sup>2</sup>Except in countries in which carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* are not rare.

# TYPES OF RULES

## 3. Interpretative rules

- Reading of resistance to drug reported by using another agent
- Inference of mechanism based on susceptibility test results

# INTERPRETIVE RULE

## ■ MRSA

- If organism identification is *S. aureus* and cefoxitin is resistant then result as resistant to methicillin and other (define) beta-lactams
  - Most panels have both oxacillin and cefoxitin, so need rule to handle discrepant results
  - If either R, report as MRSA

# INTERPRETIVE RULE

- Based on clinical evidence that reporting interpretation other than resistant may lead to clinical failure
- May be controversial without tremendous amounts of supportive data
- May be based primarily on microbiological data



# TYPES OF RULES

## 4. Stewardship or pharmacy-based rules

- Hide result or only report under specific circumstances
- Hide agents not on formulary
- Tier reporting to promote preferential use utilization of targeted agents

# STEWARDSHIP RULES

- Based on bioavailability of agent
  - Hide daptomycin on lower respiratory specimens
  - Hide clindamycin on CSF specimen
- Based on inadequacy of monotherapy
  - Only release rifampin on *S. aureus* by request
- Promote formulary options
  - Panel contains four carbapenems, but meropenem is carbapenem of choice (price)
    - If all susceptible, only release meropenem

# PHYSICIAN-DRIVEN RULE

- Urologist that wants quinolones released on all isolates from his patients
- Currently, it is a manual process
  - Technologists know about physician request, attempt to capture his specimens
  - Physician (unhappily) requests antibiotic to be released every time one is missed

# CONDITIONS OR PARAMETERS VARY BY AST SYSTEM

- Most systems allow for customized rules that can be trigger off of a number of conditions:
  - Location
  - Patient
  - Source
  - Culture type
  - Organism
  - Antibiotic result
- Bi-directional interface may be required

# PHYSICIAN-DRIVEN EXPERT RULE – OPTION 1

- If ordering physician is Dr. P and organism is *Enterobacteriaceae* and ciprofloxacin is susceptible and levofloxacin is susceptible, then release levofloxacin

AND

- If ordering physician is Dr. P and organism is *Enterobacteriaceae* and ciprofloxacin is resistant and levofloxacin is resistant, then release result

AND

- If ordering physician is Dr. P and organism is *Enterobacteriaceae* and ciprofloxacin is resistant and levofloxacin is susceptible, then release result and comment

AND

- If ordering physician is Dr. P and organism is *Enterobacteriaceae* and ciprofloxacin is susceptible and levofloxacin is resistant then manually read panel

# PHYSICIAN-DRIVEN EXPERT RULE – OPTION 2

- If ordering physician is Dr. P and organism is *Enterobacteriaceae* and levofloxacin is resistant, then release levofloxacin
- Limitations:
  - Only releasing resistance, so physician will need to assume if not present, the isolate is susceptible
  - Could be an issue if release other drugs but panel has problem with that agent and additional testing needed
    - Consider adding new interpretation of Pending

**STRATEGIES FOR  
EVALUATING AND  
DEVELOPING EXPERT  
RULES**

# THE SYSTEMS

<b>System</b>	<b>Expert System</b>
Vitek 2, Biomerieux	Advanced Expert System (AES)
MicroScan Walkaway, Beckman Coulter	Lab Pro Alert System
Phoenix, BD	BDXpert
Sensititre ARIS, Thermo Fisher	SWIN

\*Others not covered



# INSTRUMENTATION

- Expert rules in commercially available AST systems are based on
  - FDA-approved breakpoints (manufacturer's are required to use FDA-breakpoints)
  - CLSI standards
- Customizable by user

# INSTRUMENTATION

- Generally speaking, the interpretation may be altered, but the underlying MIC remains the same
- Enabled or disabled to fire automatically
- Updated annually (if not more frequently)

# DESIGNING RULES

REVIEW

BACTERIOLOGY

## **EUCAST expert rules in antimicrobial susceptibility testing**

**R. Leclercq<sup>1,2</sup>, R. Cantón<sup>2,3,4</sup>, D. F. J. Brown<sup>4</sup>, C. G. Giske<sup>2,4,5</sup>, P. Heisig<sup>2,6</sup>, A. P. MacGowan<sup>4,7</sup>, J. W. Mouton<sup>4,8</sup>, P. Nordmann<sup>2,9</sup>, A. C. Rodloff<sup>4,10</sup>, G. M. Rossolini<sup>2,11</sup>, C.-J. Soussy<sup>4,12</sup>, M. Steinbakk<sup>4,13</sup>, T. G. Winstanley<sup>2,14</sup> and G. Kahlmeter<sup>4,15</sup>**

1) *Laboratoire de Microbiologie, CHU Côte de Nacre, Caen, France*, 2) *EUCAST Subcommittee on Expert Rules*, 3) *Servicio de Microbiología and CIBER en Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Instituto Ramón y Cajal de Investigación Sanitaria (IRYCIS), Madrid, Spain*, 4) *EUCAST Steering Committee*, 5) *Clinical Microbiology, MTC-Karolinska Institutet, Karolinska University Hospital, Solna, Sweden*, 6) *Department of Pharmacy, Biology & Microbiology, University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany*, 7) *Department of Medical Microbiology, Southmead Hospital, Bristol, UK*, 8) *Department of Medical Microbiology, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands*, 9) *Service de Bactériologie-Virologie, Hôpital de Bicêtre, Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France*, 10) *Institut für Medizinische Mikrobiologie der Universität Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany*, 11) *Dipartimento di Biotecnologie, Sezione di Microbiologia, Siena, Italy*, 12) *Hôpital Henri Mondor, Service de Bactériologie, Creteil, France*, 13) *Department of Bacteriology and Immunology, Division of Infectious Disease Control, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway*, 14) *Department of Microbiology, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield, UK* and 15) *Clinical Microbiology, Central Hospital, Växjö, Sweden*

# ASSISTING YOUR RULES

- Provide written descriptions of each rule
  - Rule
  - Description
  - What is performed
  - How discrepancies are resolved
  - Why created
  - Validation data
  - Clinical validation data
  - Issues, exceptions, or alterations required

# ASSISTING YOUR RULES

## ■ Develop a high quality reporting scheme

Antibiotic	<i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> (not <i>Salmonella</i> or <i>Shigella</i> ) <sup>1</sup>	<i>Salmonella</i> species/ <i>Shigella</i> species	<i>Aeromonas</i> species/ <i>Plesiomonas</i> <i>shigelloides</i>	<i>Vibrio</i> species (not <i>V. cholerae</i> )	<i>Pseudomonas</i> <i>Aeruginosa</i> <sup>6</sup>	<i>Acinetobacter</i> Species <sup>6</sup>	<i>Burkholderia</i> <i>Cepacia</i> (non-CF isolates)	Other Non- <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> species		
Amikacin	7				✓	✓		✓	Reporting Key:	
Ampicillin	✓	✓		✓						✓
Ampicillin/Sulbactam	✓		✓	✓		✓ <sup>5</sup>			✓	Report only on isolates recovered from the urinary tract.
Aztreonam	✓		✓						✓	Report only on isolates recovered from the urinary tract.
Cefazolin	✓		✓	✓					✓	Do not report on isolates recovered from cerebrospinal fluid (CSF).
Cefepime	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	Hidden from reporting
Cefotaxime	✓		✓	✓					✓	Hidden from reporting
Cefotetan <sup>9</sup>	✓								✓	Hidden from reporting
Ceftazidime	✓	✓ <sup>4</sup>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	<sup>1</sup> Add AmpC comment {AMPC3} to the following except when isolated from urine cultures: <i>Aeromonas</i> species
Ceftriaxone	✓	✓ <sup>4</sup>	✓							
Ciprofloxacin	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓		

# EXAMPLE 2

- Display for given organism per source/site
- Provide footnotes (e.g. 1 = Daptomycin can never be released on a lower respiratory specimen.)

Reporting Key	
	Report on all isolates
	Report by physician request
	Report only with Lead approval
	Never report
	Selective reporting, see comment
	Intrinsic resistance

Antibiotic	SAUR			
	Blood	Other	Urine	CNS
Ampicillin				
Cefazolin				
Ceftroline		2		
Ceftriaxone				
Chloramphenicol				
Ciprofloxacin				
Clindamycin				
Daptomycin		1		
Erythromycin				
Gentamicin				
Gentamicin Synergy				
Levofloxacin				
Linezolid				
Moxifloxacin				
Nitrofurantoin				
Oxacillin				
Penicillin				
Rifampin		3		
Streptomycin Synergy				
Quin/dalfopristin				
Tetracycline				
Tigecycline				
Trimethoprim/Sulfa				
Vancomycin				

# ASSISTING YOUR RULES

- Interpretation tables
- Document rules for interpretation, for example: instrument generated, SOP (tech-driven), interpreted by LIS, etc.

Drug	Conc.	<i>Enterobacteriaceae (non-Salmonella/ Shigella)</i>
Amikacin	≤16	SN
	32	INT
	>32	R
Ampicillin	≤8	SN
	16	INT
	>16	R
Ampicillin/Sulbactam	≤8/4	SN
	16/8	INT
	>16/8	R
Aztreonam	≤1	SN
	2	SN
	4	SN
	8	INT
	>8	R

# AST EXPERT RULES

## PROS

Contribute to quality assurance

Highlight unusual results

Prompt user

Customizable

Increase efficiency in the lab

Promote stewardship

Contribute to better patient care

## CONS

Require management

Limited by situational knowledge

“alert fatigue”

Requires trial and error



# RESOURCES

- CLSI guidelines and standards
- EUCAST
- Instrument manuals and technical experts
- Literature
- The “experts” – pharmacy, stewardship, technologists, directors, etc.

# CONCLUSIONS

- Expert rules are designed to detect and alert laboratorians to:
  - Potential technical errors
  - Inconsistent results
  - Intrinsic resistant patterns
- Intended to provide unbiased interpretations
- Successful implementation of expert rules can benefit in clinical decisions regarding antibiotic prescribing and antibiotic stewardship efforts